UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT W. D. OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

| 1 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | Plaintiff, v. | Case No. MJ09-5003 |
| 3 | VICTORIANA SANTOS-ANDRES, | DETENTION ORDER |
| 4 | Defendant. | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | THE COURT, having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142, finds as follows: | |
| 7 | 1) No condition or combination of conditions which defendant can meet will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as | |
| 8 | required and/or the safety of any other person or the community. This finding is based on 1) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves a narcotic drug; 2) the weight of the evidence against | |
| 9 | the person; 3) the history and characteristics of the person including those set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3)(A)(B); and 4) the nature and seriousness of the danger release would impose to any person or the community. | |
| 10 | 2) No less restrictive condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and/or the sofety of any other person and the community including but not limited to those conditions set forth in 18 U.S. C. 3142(c)(1)(R) | |
| 12 | 3) <u>Detention is presumed, without adequate rebuttal</u> , pursuant to 18 U.S.C 3142(e) (if noted as applicable below): () Conviction of a Federal offense involving a crime of violence. 18 U.S.C.§3142(e)(f) | |
| 13 | () Potential maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death. 18 U.S.C.§3142(e)(f) | |
| 14 | Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C | h the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C.§801 et seq.), the L§951 et seq.) Or the Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act (46 U.S.C. |
| 15 | App. 1901 et seq.) () Convictions of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (A) through (C) of 18 U.S.C.§3142(f)(1) of two or more State | |
| 16 | or local offenses that would have been offenses described in said subparagraphs if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses. | |
| 17 | 4) Safety Reasons Supporting Detention (if noted as applicable below): | |
| 18 | () Defendant is currently on probation/supervision resulting from a prior offense. () Defendant was on bond on other charges at time of alleged occurrences herein. | |
| 19 | () Defendant's prior criminal history. () Nature of allegations. | |
| 20 | Flight Risk/Appearance Reasons Supporting Detention (if noted as applicable below): | |
| 21 | () Defendant's lack of community ties and resources. () Past conviction for Escape. | |
| 22 | (X) Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement Detainer. () Detainer(s)/Warrant(s) from other jurisdictions. | |
| | () Failures to appear for past court proceedings. () Repeated violations of court orders for supervision. | |
| 23 | Order of Detention | |
| 24 | The defendant shall be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent | |
| 25 | practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal, without prejudice to review. The defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel. | |
| 26 | The defendant shall on order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, be delivered to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. January 13, 2009. | |
| 27 | s/ J. Kelley Arnold | |
| 28 | | J.S. Magistrate Judge |
| | | |

DETENTION ORDER